\*E-Filed: August 6, 2014\*

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT J. C	RANE	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. <u>C 14-01633 HRL</u>
	1 lantin(s),	
AETNA LIF	v. E INS. CO.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met and cor oulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the following A	ADR process:
(Note: Partie, appreciably n	nore likely to meet their needs than a	(ADR L.R. 5)  Int conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR must participate in an
ADR Phone (	Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-	n. They must instead file a Notice of Need for -8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	te Process: Private ADR (please identify proc	ess and provider) The parties have agreed to
private mediation through JAMS or other mutually-agreeable neutral		
* 🖂 `	gree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dea referring the case to an ADR proc	ddline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline	
Dated: 7/28/1	14	Scott Shaffman /s/ Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 7/28/1	14	Margie Lariviere /s/ Attorney for Defendant
		Attorney for Detendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 6, 2014

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATEJUDGE HOWARD R. LLOYD

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."